

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. [	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/370,770		08/09/1999	JUSTIN CHE-I CHUANG	2685/5259	8481
26652	7590	06/26/2003			
AT&T CORP.				EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748				LUGO, DAVID B	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2634	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
م مرس	Office Action Summan	09/370,770	CHUANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TI HAU INO BATE AU	David B. Lugo	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	April 2003 .					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16-19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Ti	mdamad: Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The substitute figure received on 4/15/03 is acceptable.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, third paragraph to page 8, filed 4/15/03, with respect to the rejection of claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and claims 14 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Furuskär et al.
- 5. Regarding claim 13, Furuskär et al. teach the calculation of threshold values for each of at least one link adaptation modes where a performance criteria function is calculated using at least one parameter (p. 1285, equation 1), and for each link adaptation mode in Table 1, a range of signal quality values for which the performance criteria function is maximized is determined (see p. 1285, left hand column, final paragraph, Fig. 1), where the environment is considered a retransmission environment as retransmissions are performed as necessary (see p. 1285, left hand column, section B).

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770

Art Unit: 2634

6. Regarding claim 14, the performance criteria function is a throughput function, S<sub>n</sub>, dependent upon a radio interference rate, R, and a block error rate value, BLER<sub>n</sub>.

7. Regarding claim 15, the signal quality value is a function of a signal to interference ratio (C/I).

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-12 and 16-19 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David B. Lugo** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0954.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4714**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

dbl 6/20/03

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600